

REMARKS

Claims 1-7, 9-30 and 32-36 were previously pending in this application. By this amendment, Applicant is amending claims 1, 4, 5, 9-11, 14-22, 24, 26, 28, 29, 34, and 35. No new claims have been added. As a result claims 1-7, 9-30 and 32-36 are pending for examination with claims 1, 29, 35, and 36 being independent claims. No new matter has been added.

1. Summary of Application Status

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action mailed January 12, 2005. No response was filed in response to the Office Action, and on August 11, 2005 a Notice of Abandonment was mailed in this application. Responsibility for this application was transferred to the present attorneys in November 2004, but the present attorneys were not given any knowledge of Office Action due. Indeed, it was only after acceptance of the Revocation of Prior Powers of Attorney/New Power of Attorney filed in March 2005 that the status of this application was checked on Private PAIR and the undersigned became aware that this application had become abandoned.

2. The Office Action Mailed January 12, 2005

In the Office Action mailed January 12, 2005, claims 1-7, 9-30, and 32-36 were allowed. However, claim 1 was objected to due to the recitation of "movement imparting apparatus" in lines 16, 17, and 19 of that claim.

3. Response to the Office Action Mailed January 12, 2005

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to treat the present Amendment as Applicant's response to the Office Action mailed January 12, 2005.

Claim 1 has been amended to correct the typographical errors noted by the Examiner in the Office Action. Specifically, the recitation "movement imparting apparatus" has been changed to "movement imparting apparatus" in three places.

In addition to the above noted change to claim 1, each of the claims has been reviewed, and claims 1, 4, 5, 9-11, 14-22, 24, 26, 28, 29, 34, and 35 have been amended herein.

The additional amendments to claims 1, 4, 5, 9-11, 14-22, 24, 26, 28, 29, 34, and 35 have been made solely to further clarify the claimed subject matter, and are not believed to change the scope of these claims. For example, the word “the” has been used instead of the interchangeable use of the words “said” and “the” when referring to the same elements. Extra periods have been omitted from the ends of claims 5 and 21, and a period has been added at the end of claim 29. Claim 29 has also been amended to replace the word “with” with the word “within” at line 4 of this claim, which was present in claim 29 as originally presented but mis-typed in the amendment mailed January 20, 2004 and the amendment mailed September 30, 2004.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, reconsideration is respectfully requested. This application should now be in condition for allowance; a notice to this effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicant's attorney at the telephone number listed below.

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee, that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50/2762.

Respectfully submitted,
Rudolf A. Wiedemann, Applicant

By: 

Robert A. Skrivaneck, Jr., Reg. No. 41,316
LOWRIE, LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP
One Main Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142
United States of America
Telephone: 617-395-7000
Facsimile: 617-395-7070

Docket No.: Z2002-700219
Date: September 15, 2005